DESIGN GUIDeline 4.3.2
MEP Design Management

Scope

This design guideline describes an expanded design-phase commissioning (Cx) process known as mechanical, electrical and plumbing (MEP) design management. It describes the roles and responsibilities the commissioner (a.k.a. Commissioning Authority, or “CxA”) will perform. The Commissioning and Plan Review Department will assign commissioner(s) to perform MEP design management on large and complex new building, building addition and building renovation projects, when staff is available.

Related Documents

U-M Design Guidelines:
DG 2.1 - Owner’s Project Requirements (OPR) and Basis of Design (BOD)
DG 2.3 - Owner’s Review
DG 3.1 - Sustainable Design and LEED® Requirements
DG 3.2 - Energy and Water Conservation
DG 4.2 - Building Envelope
DG 4.3 - Building Commissioning
DG 4.3.1 - Design-Phase Commissioning
DG 230900 - Mechanical Systems Controls

U-M Master Specification Sections:
MS 017823 - Operation and Maintenance Manuals
MS 019100 - Project Commissioning

Reference Documents:
ASHRAE Guideline 0, “The Commissioning Process”
ASHRAE Guideline 0.2, “Commissioning Process for Existing Systems and Assemblies”
ASHRAE Guideline 1.1, “HVAC&R Technical Requirements for the Commissioning Process”
ASHRAE Guideline 1.5, “Commissioning Process for Smoke Control Systems”
Building Commissioning Association, "The Building Commissioning Handbook"
NIBS Guideline 3, "Building Enclosure Commissioning Process"

General

The CxA will perform the following activities during the design phase:

1. Contact the U-M Design Manager, introduce themselves and describe their role. Find out the general schedule of the project and request to be invited to the appropriate MEP meetings.
   - Recommend and establish with the Design Manager the frequency of the MEP meetings at each design stage (SD, DD, and CD), typically two meetings during SD and DD and three
2. Become knowledgeable about the project.
   - Attend at least one presentation by the Architect/Engineer (A/E) to become familiar with the project’s scope, schedule, budget, and design intent. At the first meeting attended, introduce yourself and describe your role briefly.
   - Request and review available program documents to learn about the project, including:
     - Number of floors, square footage of each floor, extent of new building or addition work, extent of renovation work, division of space by function (clinical, laboratory, classroom, office, support space), division of space among departments, etc.
     - Connections to and interactions with surrounding buildings, including any related renovations in surrounding buildings.
     - Site requirements including proposed grade, roadway and utility changes.
     - Schedule for the schematic design (SD), design development (DD) and construction documents (CD) design phases, and for project bidding and award.

3. Promote adherence to the U-M Design Guidelines, Master Specifications, Standard Details, Preferred Manufacturers Lists and Design Deliverables list. Require that deviations from these standards be approved by the Design Manager and that deviations be documented in memos, emails or meeting minutes, and the OPR/BOD.

4. As early in design as possible, promote the incorporation of U-M sustainability goals. See Design Guidelines 3.1 and 3.2.
   - Explain these Design Guidelines in detail to the A/E and promote the early identification of energy conservation measures.
   - Promote optimization of the building’s aspect ratios, siting, glass orientation, glass area, glass type, exterior shading, daylighting features, envelope insulation, air and vapor barriers, etc.

5. Conduct an MEP design management kick-off meeting.
   - The MEP design management kick-off meeting is typically held as part of the first SD MEP meeting.
   - Explain the purpose for and format of the MEP design management process.
   - Use the “MEP Meeting Master Kickoff Notes”, found on the CPR drive, to conduct the first part of the meeting.
     - Revise the “Notes” to be project specific and pass them out at the meeting. Review/explain the items in the notes.
     - The level of review needed will depend upon how much work the A/E has done previously at U-M and whether they have been through a managed MEP process. Be cautious not to over-assume the A/E knows something.
     - Require the A/E to make the “Notes” an attachment to the meeting minutes.
   - Require the A/E communicate with Plant Operations, Department of Public Safety and Security (DPS), Occupational Safety and Environmental Health (OSEH), UH Facilities
6. Manage the MEP design meetings.
   • Develop the agenda for each meeting to assure all MEP topics are discussed in detail. Obtain input from the A/E and other team members. Use the outline agenda “MEP Meeting Master Agenda”, found on the CPR drive, as a starting point, revise to make project and meeting specific.
   • Inform the Design Manager of the appropriate U-M personnel to invite.
   • Require the A/E distribute their design documents in advance when possible.
   • Require the A/E to present their design including concepts, drawings and specifications.
   • Lead a detailed discussion on the acceptability of the current concept for each system.
   • Require compliance with codes and U-M design standards.
   • Require the inclusion of Cx requirements in the design documents.
   • Identify incomplete design issues and remind the A/E of the Design Deliverables required for design completeness at each phase completion. In particular in SD and DD phases, items in the Design Deliverables list such as one-line diagrams should be presented at the MEP meetings for review and discussion. Require these be refined for subsequent meetings.
   • Require the A/E publish detailed meeting minutes for your review within two weeks of each meeting.
   • Require the meeting minutes include an action items list at the end.

7. Manage the A/E’s development and maintenance of the Owner’s Project Requirements (OPR) document as it pertains to system performance requirements and commissioned systems. See Design Guideline 2.1.
   • Review room data sheets produced by the A/E, Hospital Planner, Laboratory Planner, etc. for key project requirements that should be included in the OPR.
   • Help the A/E document the Users’ expectations, goals, benchmarks and acceptance criteria for judging the project. Include issues such as indoor temperature and humidity limits, air change rates, sound and vibration limits, medical and laboratory gas needs, laboratory equipment needs, light levels and controls, telecommunications needs, audio/visual needs, access control and security needs, medical systems and equipment needs, training needs, etc.
   • Assure the acceptable ranges of performance are identified for each parameter, and to what extent Users will be able to adjust setpoints.
   • Ask detailed questions to obtain specific acceptance criteria for systems and equipment.
   • Emphasize to the User groups the importance of establishing acceptance criteria early. Describe the high costs of expanding or changing acceptance criteria later.
   • Periodically review the OPR and identify missing, incomplete and incorrectly documented project requirements.

8. Manage the A/E’s development and maintenance of the Basis of Design (BOD) document as it pertains to system performance requirements and commissioned systems. See Design Guideline 2.1.
• Periodically review meeting minutes, the latest design drawings and specifications, and the room data sheets.
• Periodically review the BOD for clarity, completeness, and documentation of deviations from the U-M design standards. Verify the BOD reflects the OPR. Identify missing, incomplete and incorrectly documented design decisions.
• Require the BOD contain detailed sequences of operation and acceptance criteria needed to commission the MEP systems and equipment.

9. If the project is pursuing LEED v4 Certification, perform all design-phase Cx activities required for the Energy and Atmosphere Prerequisite “Fundamental Commissioning and Verification”.

10. If the project is pursuing LEED v4 Energy and Atmosphere Credit “Enhanced Commissioning”, contact the project’s LEED Coordinator for guidance and perform the design-phase Cx activities required for the paths and options the project has selected for this credit.

11. Assist the Design Manager to assure adherence to the U-M sustainability initiatives and energy and water conservation measures. See Design Guidelines 3.1 and 3.2.
   • Lead meetings, along with the Design Manager to discuss energy conservation measures and to assure U-M sustainability initiatives are met.
   • Recommend that major energy conservation measures such as envelope insulation and fenestration be optimized.

12. Recommend technical studies including studies on sound, vibration, smoke purge, effluent dispersion, electrical capacity, and energy and water conservation.
   • Emphasize the study reports will dictate many design issues, so they should be completed ASAP to minimize redesign.
   • Assist with reviewing the consultant proposals and getting the studies under contract.

13. Coordinate with plant operations, DPSS, OSEH, UH FP&D, and other U-M personnel for their input on MEP issues. Challenge any scope increase requests from these organizations if they appear excessive, especially if they exceed code or their simple payback is excessive.


15. Require that control drawings include detailed sequences of operation, setpoints, allowable variance range from set point, alarm descriptions, and equipment operating schedules.

16. Help identify major equipment that must be pre-purchased to maintain project schedule or to improve quality. Participate in the development of the pre-purchase specifications and the evaluation of the pre-purchase bids.
17. Provide the design team with “lessons learned” from previous U-M projects.

18. Promote the use of construction mock-ups and other quality assurance techniques, and participate in the evaluation of MEP-related mock-ups.

19. Require that equipment maintenance access spaces, such as coil and tube pull spaces and electrical working spaces be accounted for in the MEP design and blocked out on plan drawings.

20. Assure means are provided for performing MEP maintenance.
   - Verify lifting rails are provided above chillers for hoisting end caps and motors.
   - Verify hoisting exists for replacing large pumps, large motors and other heavy equipment.
   - Verify building egress paths and floor loading capacities are adequate for the future replacement of large equipment such as boilers, chillers and substations.

21. Serve as the point of contact for the Owner's review of the technical study reports related to mechanical and electrical equipment (noise studies, dispersion studies, smoke evac. studies, etc.).
   - Review the reports and identify obvious erroneous assumptions or conclusions.
   - Distribute the reports for review by the appropriate U-M departments.
   - Consolidate all comments and return the comments to the Design Manager.

22. Early in CD design, instruct the A/E to make Section 1.4 of operation and maintenance (O&M) manual Master Specification Section 017823 and Section 1.2 of the appropriate Cx Master Specification Section 019100 project specific.
   - Instruct the A/E to incorporate the sections into the project’s Division 01 specifications.
   - Instruct the A/E to not include O&M manual or Cx requirements in other specification sections, but rather just reference these Division 1 specification sections.

23. Review and submit written comments to the A/E on the project’s SD, DD and CD design documents during the Owner’s reviews of the SD, DD and CD documents. See Design Guideline 2.3.
   - Check that the design appears to satisfy the OPR and BOD requirements that will be verified during construction phase Cx.
   - Identify errors or omissions related to design, codes, the U-M design standards, agreements made during MEP design meetings, and good engineering practice.
   - During the DD and CD reviews, generally verify the comments submitted during previous Owner’s reviews were addressed.
   - Verify the documents reflect recommendations contained in the technical studies. This includes recommendations on MEP issues and also on architectural issues such as envelope and fenestration improvements which affect MEP issues such as energy efficiency.
   - During the CD review, verify the documents include complete testing and acceptance criteria (sequences of operation, setpoints, performance minimums, etc.) for the systems and equipment being commissioned. Identify missing information.
• During the CD review, notify the Design Manager if the documents are not sufficiently complete to issue for bids.

24. Assist the Design Manager by assigning action codes to the MEP-related SD, DD and CD phase Owner’s review comments.
   • Resolve conflicting review comments related to the MEP design.
   • Inform the Design Manager of special requests from Users and other U-M reviewers that are not cost effective or that will result in scope creep.
   • Indicate which comments should and which ones should not be incorporated.

25. Participate in the SD, DD and CD phase cost estimate reconciliation efforts.
   • Spot-check for estimate errors and omissions.
   • Check for line items duplicated in multiple sections and line items assigned to the wrong funding sources.

26. Participate in the SD, DD and CD phase value engineering (VE) efforts.
   • Recommend VE measures.
   • Assure VE measures do not effect compliance with the OPR.
   • Discourage VE measures that significantly reduce OPR compliance, energy or water conservation, reliability or maintainability.
   • Verify that approved MEP VE measures appear in the next phase of design documents.

27. Participate in the MEP contractor bid evaluations and contractor pre-award interviews critical to successfully commissioned projects.

28. Hold a meeting with the construction CxA and help the construction-phase CxA become familiar with critical OPR and BOD requirements.